942. Phosphonitrilic Derivatives. Part VII.¹ The Crystal Structure of Tetrameric Phosphonitrilic Fluoride.

By H. McD. McGeachin and F. R. TROMANS.

The X-ray analysis of crystalline $(PNF_2)_4$ shows that the P-N ring is planar and that the molecule has only slight deviations from 4/mmm (D_{4h}) symmetry. These deviations are ascribed to close approaches of neighbouring molecules. The P–N bond lengths are equal and short (1.51 \pm 0.02 Å). The P-F bond lengths are also 1.51 ± 0.02 Å and values of

 $147.2^{\circ} \pm 1.4^{\circ}$, $122.7^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ and $99.9^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ are found for the angles PNP,

NPN, and FPF, respectively.

THIS study was undertaken to investigate the structural effects of substituting fluorine for chlorine in the phosphonitrilic halides. Ketelaar and de Vries² showed that the symmetry of the tetrameric chloride was $\overline{4}$ while space-group considerations indicated that that of the fluoride was $\overline{1}$. The shape of the eight-membered P-N ring thus depends on the substituents; this work reports how the bond lengths and angles are modified by the substitution of the more electronegative fluorine for chlorine.

Shortly before our work was completed, Jagodzinski and Oppermann³ published an account of some preliminary structural work on $(PNF_2)_4$; they do not intend to continue the investigation. A brief note on our results has appeared.⁴

Crystal Data.—(PNF₂)₄, M = 331.96, m. p. 30.4° , monoclinic, a = 7.40, b = 13.83, c = 5.16 Å, $\beta = 109.5^{\circ}$, U = 500 Å³, $D_m = 2.22$, Z = 2, $D_c = 2.20$, F(000) = 320, $\mu = 8.8$ cm.⁻¹ Mo for radiation. Space group $P2_1/a$ (C⁵_{2h}, No. 14), implied molecular symmetry I, optically biaxial negative, refractive indices $\alpha = 1.379 \pm 0.002$, $\beta = 1.428 \pm$ 0.005, $\gamma = 1.463 \pm 0.002$ (optical results from J. K. Leary). Because of the low melting point, the thermal vibrations are large and no high-order reflections are observed. The dimensions of the unit cell, determined from rotation photographs, are therefore probably less accurate than we would wish; they can be compared with Jagodzinski and Oppermann's values a = 7.53, b = 14.0, c = 5.15 Å, $\beta = 111.1^{\circ}$.

EXPERIMENTAL

Tetrameric phosphonitrilic fluoride sublimes rapidly in air and crystal specimens must be grown in sealed capsules. Two different sets of three-dimensional intensity measurements were made. In the first (A), one of several single crystals grown from the melt in a Lindemann glass tube was used with copper radiation. It was impossible to control the shape of the specimen, and during exposure both size and shape changed as the crystal sublimed inside the tube. Since we had no precise knowledge of the crystal dimensions, we could not apply the anisotropic absorption corrections which were clearly desirable. At a later stage another set (B) of intensity measurements were made with molybdenum radiation and a spherical crystal. This was grown in a Lindemann glass sphere (0.8 mm. diam.) completely filled with the melt; cycles of partial melting and recrystallisation (controlled either by varying the

- ⁴ McGeachin and Tromans, Chem. and Ind., 1960, 1131.

Part VI, Chapman, Holmes, Paddock, and Searle, J., 1961, 1825.
 Ketelaar and de Vries, *Rec. Trav. chim.*, 1939, 58, 1081.
 Jagodzinski and Oppermann, Z. Krist., 1960, 113, 241.

TABLE 1.

Observed and calculated structure factors.

h k l	10 Fo	10Fc	h k	$10 F_0$	10Fc	hk l	$10 F_0 $	10Fc	hk l	$10 F_0 $	$10F_{c}$	h k	$10 F_0 $	$10F_{c}$
00 1		295	22 - 4	90 51	90 28	34 - 3	235	265	46 - 3	74 123	- 89	19 - 2	204	203
4	353	377	32 0 1	335	- 335	44 0	110	100	56 0	62	66	290	154	-143
an (5 79	- 82	2	236	-254	1	94	- 77	1	46	41	3	106	94
20 0	1248	-1210	-1	163	163	-1	112	130	-1 -2	120	112	$39 0^{-2}$	111	- 101
5	239	-277	-2	290	281	-2	156	154	-3	84	77	1	116	-113
-1	442	107 357	42 0	342 119	303		192	199	66 1	81	60 77	-1 -2	147 181	-139 -181
-2	522	487	i	72	77	54 1	89	-100	-1	88	-71	490	135	-128
	8 248 176	- 224	2 -1	79 118		-1	83 166	69 174	76 0	79 154	- 65	1	65 71	68
-5	63	87	-3	65	63	$-\frac{1}{2}$	113	-113	2	110	-111	-2	117	118
40 0) 103	106	5 9 1	72	- 72	- 3	95	- 94	17 0	199	-223	590	93	90
2	64	-43	32 3	88	- 155 70	64 0	187	-185	1, 0	152	170	1 2	58	98 48
4	85	83	-1	93	-106	-1	178	-160	3	132	-141	-1	108	117
-1	276	- 387	-2	$\frac{334}{130}$	-337 -136	74 - 3	53 100	-68	$27 \frac{-2}{1}$	136	-134	$-2 \\ -3$	48 50	39
-3	120	-134	621	55	- 57	05 1	153	155	3	132	135	69 Ŭ	54	42
60 0	257	-227 218	72 - 2	45 129	48 160	24	78 103	42 67	-1 -2	178 277	-162 -249	-1	61 145	-42
ĩ	56	-53	031	450	- 349	15 Ô	268	-232	-3	140	-152	1 i	88	83
-1	234	259	2	144	$172 \\ 978$	1	99 194	- 86	370	396	367	1 10 0	375	358
-4	88	107	4	60	-62	3	117	82	2	87	- 93	2	70	- 83
01 1	57	- 56	13 1	116	112	4	129	136	3	111	97	3	119	95
2	111	110	-1	369	- 301	-3	101	-135 -104	$-\frac{2}{-3}$	1204 125	- 208 123	2 10 0	115	-162
11 0	397	343	230	200	-181	-4	121	-117	47 0	74	72	310 0	154	-136
1 2	709	- 624	1	149 229	-233	25 0	290 147	-266 164	1 2	172	184	_1	146 206	-139
3	117	113	-1	267	232	2	135	133	-1	140	142	$-\frac{1}{2}$	114	95
1	161	-172	-2	380 121	337	-1	140	120	57 - 2	128	127 	4 10 1	73	- 63
-3	136	-151	330	191	-157	-3	143	-150	î î	68	59	-1	43	- 55 - 55
-4	137	151	1	60	54	35 0	243	235	2	94	82	$5\ 10\ -1$	135	-125
21 0	167	-150	-1	54	40 51	-2	160	164	-1 -2	99	88	011 1	235	- 55 252
2	180	-177	-2	102	109	-3	159	176	-3	108	- 94	211 0	175	180
-1	247	-102 217	43 0	100	- 37	450	220 42	224 36	670	60	- 46 - 55	-1	132 271	-150
— 3	85	67	ĩ	162	-203	-1	63	- 58		75	- 69	311 0	116	-133
31 0	213	-260	2 3	172	- 181	-2	179	-179	08 0	88 374	93 357	411 0	59 168	50 187
2	85	71	-1	306	- 320	550	306	-289	2	219	217	-1	83	-82
3	141	-149	-2	156	-162	1	126	-129	18 0	120	-124	5 11 - 3	47	- 53
- 1	86	-61	530	78	-71	-4	48	- 57 55	18 0	73	- 81	611 0	80	87
0	63	- 59	1	122	-130	-5	74	45	2	139	-132	0 12 1	112	-141
1 2	97 -	-76 -85	-3	55 60	-12^{26}	600	87 77	- 85 30	-1	230 137	-219 132	$112 0^2$	98 187	- 76
-1	158	-201	632	112	109	750	78	59	28 0	131	145	i	58	37
-2	65 57	70 53	-1	$\frac{226}{122}$	231 	06 0	288	- 255	1 2	72 276	- 51	212 0	68 104	- 75
51 0	317	350	04 0	1248	-1095	3	120	-114	-1	258	-260	2 12 0	124	114
1	78	67 61	1 2	452	-383 -183	16 0	67 298	- 95 - 278	38 0	191 63	204 	-1	58 102	73
3	62	70	3	112	-135	2	226	-212	2	158	147	-2^{-3}	70	46
- 3	100	117	14 0	53	58	3	137	-110	-1	169	166	412 - 2	82	82
61 2	73	- 80 60	14 0	76	82	-1	296	-287	-3	114	-138	0131	84	57
-1	126	163	2	253	251	-2	310	-319	48 0	70	- 74	1 13 2	74	78
71 0	132 62	- 86 - 90	-1^{3}	503	-123 495	26 0	175	179	-1	82	81	313 1	123	-118
020	687	430	-2	104	101	-1	325	-289	-2	161	-177	-1	104	90
1 2	177	-145 -105	-3	294 71	- 310 67	-2	89 146	82 154	$58 \frac{-3}{2}$	189	-188 -57	513 1	104 64	-46
3	90	107	24 Õ	244	190	-4	165	-164	-1	46	- 58	-1	83	-86
12 0	83 910	65 815	1	78 320	76 300	361	$192 \\ 127$	182 121	-2	65 84	45 75	1 14 0	69 89	53 - 87
2	334	330	23	137	175	3	110	115	680	51	52	$\hat{0}$ $\hat{1}\hat{4}$ $\hat{1}$	67	- 95
-1	998	862	-1	81 65	55 66	-1	219 84	-218	1	76 52	86 43	214 2	107	96 60
- 3	253	-258	- <u>2</u> -4	117	-126	$-\frac{2}{-3}$	129	-108	$-1 \\ -2$	110	108		62	-67
22 0	308	307	34 0	200	187	46 0	132	-139		119	124	4151 215-1	87	80
-1	204	194	$\frac{1}{2}$	197	-196	±0 0 1	156	-157	12	189	-168	110 - 1 116 0	67	- 89 - 90
-2	65	40	-1	434	-427	-1	234	221	3	140	- 94			
- 3	129	-110	-2	73	83	-2	01	98	-1	99	113			

aperture of the lamp of the polarising microscope by which it was viewed, or by warming with the fingers) eventually produced single crystals. Bond's ⁵ corrections for absorption were applied to this set, which consisted of 398 independent non-zero values, compared with 560

⁵ Bond, Acta Cryst., 1959, **12**, 381; "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography," Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1959, Vol. II.

4779

in set (A); the smaller number is due to the heavier background associated with molybdenum radiation. Weissenberg photographs about four axes were used for (A) and about three for (B). The four films (Ilford Industrial G) simultaneously exposed were interleaved with 0.001 in. copper foil when molybdenum radiation was used, to give an effective film factor of about 3.

The R factor between sets (A) and (B) of F_{obs} was 17.7%.

Solution of the Structure.—The structure was determined from Patterson projections with the help of trial-and-error methods, and refined initially by (F_o-F_c) syntheses on four projections and later by three-dimensional least-squares analysis on a Pegasus computer, the Leeds programme being used which refines atomic co-ordinates, anisotropic vibrations, and scale factor. Five cycles reduced the R factor from 40% to 17% (calculated on the non-zero F_{obs} only). The bond lengths of this structure (A) were scarcely credible: the P-N bonds, for example, ranged from 1.38—1.65 Å in an unsystematic way. This refinement used F_{obs} values derived from intensities (A), and the disappointing results were the reason for measuring the new set (B). The new F_{obs} were scaled layer-line by layer-line with the calculated values of structure (A) and, by using them, five cycles of refinement reduced the R factor to 10.2% and gave the satisfactory structure (B) described below.

This situation seems surprising. It is not unexpected that the values of U_{ij} , the components of the tensor which defines the anisotropic thermal vibrations, would be spurious when based on F_{hkl} whose errors are distributed anisotropically, but it was hoped that this error distribution would not have any very serious effect on the atomic co-ordinates, and also that if such an effect were in fact present it would be revealed by large values of the co-ordinate standard deviations σ . Both these hopes were disappointed. If the values of the co-ordinates in the satisfactory structure (B) are taken for this purpose as correct, so that the differences between (A) and (B) are considered as errors in (A), 6 out of the 24 independent co-ordinates in (A) have errors greater than $3\cdot 2 \sigma$ and the largest is $8\cdot 1 \sigma$. If σ is a true measure of the standard deviation, these errors, which are associated with the light nitrogen and fluorine atoms, are most unlikely; hence in this case σ has been grossly underestimated. It is no criticism of Cruickshank's ⁶ method of calculating standard deviations to point out that if used with systematically unreliable data it gives unreliable results, but it seems worth emphasising that when systematic errors are present the calculated values of σ may be worthless.

Values of F_{obs} of set (B) and F_{cale} are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 2.

Co-ordinates of atoms (Å).

I	Monoclinic axe	s	(Orthogonal axe	s
x	У	z	X	y'	z'
$1 \cdot 4282$	1.0489	1.6185	1.3462	1.0489	1.1418
1.3890	-1.5562	0.3949	1.3093	-1.5562	-0.0687
0.0022	1.6822	0.8061	0.0021	1.6822	0.8054
$1 \cdot 8320$	-0.3079	1.2794	1.7268	-0.3079	0.6679
2.5673	-1.9020	-0.1983	$2 \cdot 4199$	-1.9050	-1.0553
1.5083	-2.7107	1.3840	1.4217	-2.7107	0.8802
1.6340	1.1411	$3 \cdot 2048$	1.5402	1.1411	2.6594
$2 \cdot 5556$	2.0103	1.5514	$2 \cdot 4089$	2.0103	0.6983
	x 1·4282 1·3890 0·0022 1·8320 2·5673 1·5083 1·6340 2·5556	x y 1.4282 1.0489 1.3890 -1.5562 0.0022 1.6822 1.8320 -0.3079 2.5673 -1.9050 1.5083 -2.7107 1.6340 1.1411 2.5556 2.0103	Monoclinic axes x y z 1.4282 1.0489 1.6185 1.3890 -1.5562 0.3949 0.0022 1.6822 0.8061 1.8320 -0.3079 1.2794 2.5673 -1.9050 -0.1983 1.5083 -2.7107 1.3840 1.6340 1.1411 3.2048 2.5556 2.0103 1.5514	Monoclinic axesxyzx' x y z x' 1.4282 1.0489 1.6185 1.3462 1.3890 -1.5562 0.3949 1.3093 0.0022 1.6822 0.8061 0.0021 1.8320 -0.3079 1.2794 1.7268 2.5673 -1.9050 -0.1983 2.4199 1.5083 -2.7107 1.3840 1.4217 1.6340 1.1411 3.2048 1.5402 2.5556 2.0103 1.5514 2.4089	Monoclinic axesOrthogonal axe x y z x' y' 1.42821.04891.61851.34621.04891.3890-1.55620.39491.3093-1.55620.00221.68220.80610.00211.68221.8320-0.30791.27941.7268-0.30792.5673-1.9050-0.19832.4199-1.90501.5083-2.71071.38401.4217-2.71071.63401.14113.20481.54021.14112.55562.01031.55142.40892.0103

TABLE 3.

Standard deviations of co-ordinates (Å).

	Μ	Ionoclinic ax	es		Orthogo	onal axes	
	$\sigma(x)$	$\sigma(\gamma)$	$\sigma(z)$	$\sigma(x')$	$\sigma(y')$	$\sigma(z')$	σ(ζ)
P(1)	0.0044	0.0043	0.0052	0.0041	0.0043	0.0054	0.0021
(2)	0.0049	0.0046	0.0063	0.0046	0.0046	0.0065	0.0060
N(1)	0.0149	0.0166	0.0229	0.0140	0.0166	0.0234	0.0212
(2)	0.0186	0.0147	0.0192	0.0175	0.0147	0.0202	0.0191
F(1)	0.0124	0.0121	0.0121	0.0112	0.0121	0.0156	0.0149
(2)	0.0188	0.0122	0·0163	0.0177	0.0122	0.0175	0.0168
(3)	0.0163	0.0138	0.0146	0.0124	0.0138	0.0156	0.0123
(4)	0.0116	0.0118	0.0149	0.0109	0.0118	0.0154	0.0143

⁶ Cruickshank, Acta Cryst., 1949, 2, 65.

THE STRUCTURE

The co-ordinates of the atoms are given in Table 2, where x, y, z are referred to the monoclinic crystal axes, and x', y', z' to orthogonal axes given by the transformation $x' = x \sin \beta$, $y' = y, z' = z + x \cos \beta$. Table 3 shows the estimated standard deviations of the atoms with respect to both co-ordinate systems. Table 4 shows the components of the vibration tensor U_{ij} with respect to x, y, z, for each atom, and Table 5 their standard deviations. Bond lengths and angles are given in Tables 6 and 7 with their estimated standard deviations σ . $\sigma(\phi)$ was calculated from the equation (due to D. F. Carroll):

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1r_2\sin\phi)^2\sigma^2(\phi) &= [(x_3 - x_2) - (x_1 - x_2)r_2\cos\phi/r_1]^2\sigma^2(x_1) \\ &+ [(x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3) - (x_1 - x_2)r_2\cos\phi/r_1 - (x_3 - x_2)r_1\cos\phi/r_2]^2\sigma^2(x_2) \\ &+ [(x_1 - x_2) - (x_3 - x_2)r_1\cos\phi/r_2]^2\sigma^2(x_3) \\ &+ \text{ corresponding terms in } y \text{ and } z. \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 4.

Components U_{ij} of vibration tensors (Å²).

	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{23}	U_{12}	U_{23}	U_{13}
P(1)	0.0544	0.0565	0.0667	-0.0181	-0.0256	0.0284
(2)	0.0650	0.0209	0.0906	0.0292	0.0116	0.0425
N(1)	0.0728	0.0703	0.1283	0.0025	-0.0846	-0.0294
(2)	0.1016	0.0553	0.0767	0.0120	-0.0164	-0.0044
F(1)	0.0872	0.1413	0.1383	0.0797	-0.0282	0.0916
(2)	0.1988	0.0612	0.1235	0.0300	0.0540	0.0511
(3)	0.1314	0.1284	0.0790	-0.0459	-0.0728	0.0739
(4)	0.0931	0.0837	0.1218	-0.0542	-0.0171	0.1022

TABLE 5.

Standard deviations in U_{ij} .

	$\sigma(U_{11})$	$\sigma(U_{22})$	$\sigma(U_{33})$	$\sigma(U_{12})$	$\sigma(U_{23})$	$\sigma(U_{13})$
P(1)	0.0024	0.0026	0.0030	0.0038	0.0049	0.0039
(2)	0.0028	0.0023	0.0038	0.0041	0.0055	0.0049
N(1)	0.0100	0.0103	0.0173	0.0123	0.0227	0.0211
(2)	0.0112	0.0089	0.0113	0.0164	0.0183	0.0156
F(1)	0.0084	0.0121	0.0136	0.0161	0.0186	0.0166
(2)	0.0144	0.0077	0.0123	0.0166	0.0121	0.0213
(3)	0.0107	0.0113	0.0090	0.0167	0.0169	0.0153
(4)	0.0082	0.0081	0.0108	0.0120	0.0144	0.0140

TABLE 6.

Bond lengths (Å).

	r	$\sigma(\mathbf{r})$		r	$\sigma(r)$
P(1) - N(1)	1.523	0.016	P(1)-F(3)	1.533	0.016
P(1) - N(2)	1.487	0.016	P(1) - F(4)	1.500	0.013
P(2) - N(1)'	1.510	0.017	P(2)-F(1)	1.526	0.012
P(2) - N(2)	1.508	0.017	P(2)-F(2)	1.499	0.016

TABLE 7.

Bond angles.

	φ	$\sigma(\phi)$		ϕ	$\sigma(\phi)$
$N(1) \widehat{P}(1) N(2)$	122.3	1.04	$N(1) \stackrel{\frown}{P}(1) F(3)$	107.8	0.99
$N(1)' \hat{P}(2) N(2)$	$123 \cdot 2$	0.96	$N(1) \hat{P}(1) F(4)$	107.1	0.83
			N(2) P(1) F(3)	109.8	0.98
$P(1) \widehat{N}(1) P(2)'$	147.1	1.33	$N(2) \dot{P}(1) F(4)$	108.0	0.83
$P(1) \widehat{N}(2) P(2)$	147.2	$1 \cdot 43$	$N(2) \stackrel{\frown}{P}(2) F(2)$	$107 \cdot 9$	1.00
			$N(1)' \hat{P}(2) F(2)$	108.0	1.00
$F(3) \stackrel{\frown}{P}(1) F(4)$	99.5	0.86	$N(2) \stackrel{\frown}{P}(2) F(1)$	107.7	0.92
$\mathbf{F(1)} \stackrel{\frown}{\mathbf{P}(2)} \mathbf{F(2)}$	100· 3	0.90	$N(1)' \stackrel{\frown}{P}(2) F(1)$	107·3	1.03

 ϕ is the angle at atom (2), r_1 and r_2 the distances (1)-(2) and (2)-(3) respectively. This is a more exact form of Ahmed and Cruickshank's ⁷ expression.

There are no significant differences in bond lengths and angles of a given type, and the mean values are $P-N = 1.507 \pm 0.017$ Å, $P-F = 1.514 \pm 0.015$ Å, $NPN = 122.7^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$, $PNP = 147.2^{\circ} \pm 1.4^{\circ}$, $FPF = 99.9^{\circ} \pm 0.9^{\circ}$ and $NPF = 108.0^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$. The striking features are the short length P-N and the large angle PNP. The sum of the two independent angles is 269.9° and this close approximation to 270° suggests that the ring may be planar. The deviations ζ of the nitrogen atoms from the plane of the phosphorus atoms are not significant and the standard deviations $\sigma(\zeta)$ of all the ring atoms in a direction normal to this plane were used to obtain weighting factors $1/\sigma^2(\zeta)$ for a least-squares calculation of the mean plane of the ring, the equation of which is

$$0.4069x' + 0.3811y' - 0.8302z' = 0.$$
 (1)

The pairs of fluorine atoms are distributed not quite symmetrically about the plane, and this was still true even when the mid-points of F(1)-F(2) and F(3)-F(4) were included in another weighted least-squares calculation. It was concluded that the plane of the ring





was not quite a mirror for the fluorine atoms and that the best plane was therefore plane (1). Fig. 1 shows the projection of a molecule down the normal to this plane. The distances ζ of the atoms from the plane are shown in Fig. 1 and the standard deviations of these distances appear as $\sigma(\zeta)$ in Table 3. The molecule obviously has symmetry close to 4/mmm (D_{4h}). Exact symmetry would impose conditions on the molecular geometry in addition to the planarity of the ring and the equality of chemically similar bonds and angles already noted. These conditions are examined in turn and the experimental values of the parameters, with their standard deviations in parentheses, are listed in brackets. The last column gives the ratio of the discrepancy to its standard deviation, calculated before the figures had been rounded off. $F(1) \cdots F(2)^r$ is the distance between F(1) and the reflection of F(2) in the plane of the ring; cf. 0 is the origin at the centre of the molecule.

$\substack{(a)\\(b)}$	$P(1) \cdots 0 = P(2) \cdots O$ N(1) \cdots 0 = N(2) \cdots 0	[2.053 (0.005); [1.865 (0.018);	2.035 (0.005)] 1.877 (0.021)]	$2.83 \\ 0.42$
(c)	$P(1) \stackrel{\frown}{0} P(2) = 90^{\circ}$	[89·28° (0·19)]		3 ·79
(d)	$N(1) \stackrel{\frown}{0} N(2) = 90^{\circ}$	[89·61° (0·68)]		0.57
(c)	$P(1) \stackrel{\frown}{0} N(1) = 45^{\circ}$	[45·45° (0·48)]		0.94
(f)	$\mathbf{F}(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{F}(2)^r = 0$	[0.108 (0.021)]		5.16
(g)	$\mathbf{F}(3) \bullet \bullet \bullet \mathbf{F}(4)^r = 0$	[0.086 (0.019)]		4.48

The significant discrepancies are in (a), (c), (f), and (g), notably the last two.

The intermolecular contacts are:

Contact	F(1) ••• F(4)'	$F(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot F(3)^{\prime\prime\prime}$	$F(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot F(4)^{\prime\prime\prime}$	$F(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot F(3)'$
Distance (Å)	3.01	3.13	3.23	3.29
Contact	$N(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot F(3)^{\prime\prime\prime}$	$N(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot F(4)'$	N(1) ••• F(4)"	
Distance (Å)	3.49	3.57	3.58	

All other intermolecular $F \cdots F$ distances are greater than 3.4 Å. The values listed are all very large compared with those predicted from Pauling's values of van der Waals radii (2.70 Å for $F \cdots F$ and 2.85 Å for $N \cdots F$). This suggests that the fluorine atoms may be charged. The three shortest separations are shown by broken lines (two curved to prevent confusion) in Fig. 2, which is the projection of the structure down the b axis. The non-zero values of $F \cdots F'$ can be explained qualitatively if it is assumed that F(1)and F(4)', the atoms of the shortest contact, experience a mutual repulsion. From Fig. 1 it is seen that the major distortions (f) and (g) can be described approximately as (f) a small rotation about P(2) of the triangle P(2) F(1) F(2) in its plane in the direction $F(1) \longrightarrow F(2)$ and (g) a small rotation of the triangle P(1) F(3) F(4) about an axis through P(1) and in its plane, thereby twisting its plane and bringing F(4) closer to N(1). With



FIG. 2. The structure projected down the b axis. The three shortest intermolecular contacts are shown by broken lines.

the help of models it can be seen that these effects would be predictable from a postulated mutual repulsion of F(1) and F(4), if the triangles are considered rigid and anchored at the phosphorus atom. The reason for the differences in the two cases is that $F(1) \cdots F(4)'$ makes only a small angle with the plane through P(2), F(1), and F(2), but a much larger one with that through P(1), F(3), and F(4). It is suggested that this distortion might push the phosphorus atoms out of their natural positions and that this might account for

the small but significant discrepancies in the values of $P(1) \cdots 0$, $P(2) \cdots 0$, and P(1)0P(2).

If this is accepted, the molecule in its free state can be considered to have full 4/mmm symmetry and could be represented by Fig. 3.

The mean P–F bond length (1.51 Å) agrees well with the mean values in the phosphorus oxyhalides studied by Brockway and Beach.⁹

The P-N bond lengths are equal and short (1.51 Å). The purest P-N single bond

- Ahmed and Cruickshank, Acta Cryst., 1953, 6, 385.
 Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," 2nd edn., Oxford, 1950.
- ⁹ Brockway and Beach, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1938, 60, 1836.

reported is that in NaHPO₃(NH₂) (1.78 Å) although this is a zwitterion structure; ¹⁰ Nordman's value ¹¹ (1.635 Å) in (H₂N)₃,PBH₃ will be modified by the charge which the phosphorus atom carries, at least formally. A more proper comparison is with the analogous values obtained by Wilson and Carroll ¹² (1.59 Å) in (PNCl₂)₃ and Ketelaar and de Vries ² (1.67 Å) in (PNCl₂)₄. The completely planar ring, which is quite unexpected, is made possibly by the unusually large angle (147°) at the nitrogen atoms, which therefore appear to be partially deficient in their lone-pair electrons.

The effect on the PN skeleton of substituting fluorine for chlorine is shown in the following Table.

Compound	Ring	P-N	\widehat{PNP}	NPN	RPR
(PNCl ₂) ₄	puckered	1·67 Å	123°	117°	105·5°
(PNF ₂) ₄	planar	1.51	147·2°	122·7°	99∙9°

Another crystalline form is obtained at low temperature with the same space group $P2_1/a$ and the same unit-cell dimensions except that c is doubled. The reflections appearing on the extra layer-lines are very weak and the reflections common to both forms have very similar intensities. In the low-temperature form molecular symmetry $\overline{1}$ is no longer

FIG. 3.	. The	idealis	sed mole	ecule	with	mean
va	lues of	bond	lengths	and	angles	5.



mplied, but the crystal structure is obviously very similar to that described above. One explanation is that the molecules in the high-temperature form are distorted in a "chair-like" way while those in the low-temperature form have "boat-like" distortions; another is that the high-temperature form is composed of molecules with "boat-like" distortion distributed in a statistically disordered way. If the boat form has in itself 2 or m symmetry, which would seem likely, a superposition of two molecules to give a mean structure with a planar ring would not give mean positions of fluorine atoms asymmetrically disposed on either side of the ring and thus the hypothesis of disorder seems less likely, on admittedly speculative grounds. In any case the distortions must be small and would be unlikely to affect the conclusion that in its free state the molecule would have 4/mmm symmetry.

We are grateful to J. K. Leary for the optical data.

Research Department, Albright & Wilson (Mfg.) Ltd., Oldbury, Birmingham.

[Received, January 20th, 1961.]

¹⁰ Hobbs, Corbridge, and Raistrick, Acta Cryst., 1953, 6, 621.

¹¹ Nordman, Acta Cryst., 1960, 13, 535.

¹² Wilson and Carroll, J., 1960, 2548.